RECQ4 selectively recognizes Holliday junctions

Hana Sedlackova[®], Barbora Cechova^{®,#}, Jarmila Mlcouskova[®], Lumir Krejci^{a,b,c,*}

^a Department of Biology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

^b National Centre for Biomolecular Research, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

^c International Clinical Research Center, Center for Biomolecular and Cellular Engineering, St. Anne's University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

[#] Current Address: Mathias Lerch Gymnasium, Brno, Czech Republic

* Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>lkrejci@chemi.muni.cz</u>. Tel: (420) 549 493 767. Fax: (420) 549 492 556.

Abstract

The RECQ4 protein belongs to the RecQ helicase family, which plays crucial roles in genome maintenance. Mutations in the *RECQ4* gene are associated with three insidious hereditary disorders: Rothmund–Thomson, Baller–Gerold, and RAPADILINO syndromes. These syndromes are characterized by growth deficiency, radial ray defects, red rashes, and higher predisposition to malignancy, especially osteosarcomas. Within the RecQ family, RECQ4 is the least characterized, and its role in DNA replication and repair remains unknown. We have identified several DNA binding sites within RECQ4. Two are located at the N-terminus and one is located within the conserved helicase domain. N-terminal domains probably cooperate with one another and promote the strong annealing activity of RECQ4. Surprisingly, the region spanning 322–400 as shows a very high affinity for branched DNA substrates, especially Holliday junctions. This study demonstrates biochemical activities of RECQ4 that could be involved in genome maintenance and suggest its possible role in processing replication and recombination intermediates.

1. Introduction

The RecQ family is a highly evolutionarily conserved group of DNA helicases which are considered to be multiple genome "protectors" that maintain chromosomal stability and suppress tumorigenesis [1, 2]. In human cells, five RecQ helicases have been identified: RECQ1 [3, 4], BLM (RECQ2) [5], WRN (RECQ3) [6], RECQ4 and RECQ5 [7]. Mutations in three of the five human RecQ helicases, BLM, WRN and RECQ4, can lead to hereditary Bloom (BS), Werner (WS) and Rothmund–Thomson (RTS) syndromes, respectively. All syndromes are causally linked with clinical symptoms including chromosomal and developmental abnormalities, cancer predisposition and premature aging [5, 6, 8].

Mutations in RECQ4 are associated not only with RTS but also with two additional insidious diseases, the RAPADILINO and Baller–Gerold (BGS) syndromes [8-11]. BGS and RAPADILINO have clinical features overlapping with those of RTS, manifesting not only as hyper- and hypopigmentation (poikiloderma), radial ray defects, skeletal abnormalities, and slow growth, but also premature aging and a higher predisposition to cancer, especially osteosarcoma [12]. In addition, cells derived from patients with RTS show a high frequency of aneuploidy, trisomy and chromosomal rearrangements [13].

The RECQ4 gene encodes a protein 1208 amino acids long (133 kDa) and containing a highly conserved 3' to 5' helicase domain in its center [7, 14]. The RECQ4 protein lacks homology to another two conserved RecQ motifs, namely RQC and HRDC, which are present in the BLM and WRN helicases. Although the RECQ4 protein's role in DNA metabolism remains unknown, it has been shown to possess ATPase activity and single-strand annealing activity while manifesting only limited helicase activity [15-19]. Due to its interactions with several replication initiation factors, such as MCM10 and TOPBP1, and its importance for the proper assembly of CDC45-MCM2-7-GINS complex at the replication fork, it has been suggested that RECQ4 plays a role in replisome assembly [20, 21]. This view is supported also by the presence of both nuclear targeting sequences and a region with similarity to the yeast Sld2 protein that is important for initiating DNA replication [22-24] at the N-terminus of RECQ4. RECQ4 is also implicated in DNA repair, as it interacts and co-localizes with key proteins for base excision repair [25] and also facilitates nucleotide excision repair of UVinduced DNA damage [26]. In addition, RECQ4 has been shown to function in telomere maintenance [27] and co-localize with RAD51 foci and regions of single-stranded DNA after induction of double-strand breaks (DSBs) [28]. While these findings further point to a role for RECQ4 in the repair of DSBs by homologous recombination, additional studies are required to decipher the RECQ4 protein's role and molecular mechanism of action.

Most of the mutations identified in patients with RTS are located in the conserved helicase domain of *RECQ4*, and these can lead to incompletely functional protein truncation [29, 30]. Whereas mice carrying mutations within the helicase domain survive and show RTS phenotype [31, 32], disruption of the N-terminus of RECQ4 leads to early embryonic lethality. This has been confirmed, too, in the DT40 system, where the N-terminus of human RECQ4 (spanning region 1–496 aa) was able fully to rescue from lethality cells for which RECQ4 had been disabled [33]. This clearly indicates that the N-terminus of RECQ4 is essential for cell viability, and it also explains why mutations in this region are very rare.

In this work, we investigated the DNA binding properties of RECQ4. We identified several DNA-binding domains within RECQ4. Two domains were located within the N-terminus and a third was part of the helicase domain. Both domains within the N-terminus are required for annealing complementary strands. Particularly noteworthy is that the DNA-binding domain located in region 322–400 aa shows a very strong preference for Holliday junctions, thus implying a role of RECQ4 in the metabolism of replication and recombination intermediates.

2. Results

2.1. N-terminus of RECQ4 has high affinity for Holliday junctions

Since other RECQ helicases contain several DNA-binding domains, we tested for their presence in the RECQ4 protein. To this end, we generated several RECQ4 truncations (Fig. 1A) as MBP-fusion at N-terminus and 9-histidine at C-terminus, respectively, to improve solubility and protein yield. The purification of each RECQ4 fragment was carried out using a defined protocol (Supplementary Fig. 1A) and resulted in proteins with near homogeneity (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

To map the DNA-binding domains in RECQ4, we first tested fragments that split the RECQ4 protein into N-terminal (RECQ4[1–400], RECQ4[1–492]) and C-terminal/helicase (RECQ4[455–1208]) domains. As shown in Fig. 1B, RECQ4(1–400) and RECQ4(1–492) were able to bind 50% of dsDNA substrate at 100 nM, while C-terminal fragment required 200 nM protein for 30% of dsDNA to be bound. To exclude potential effects of MBP-tag on DNA

binding activity, we removed it from fragment RECQ4(1–400). However, no effect on DNA binding of this RECQ4 fragment was observed (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Next, we decided to test DNA binding preferences of RECQ4(1–400) for different DNA structures representing various recombination or replication intermediates, including ssDNA, dsDNA, 3' flap, bubble and Holliday junction (HJ) structures. To our surprise, we observed a very strong affinity for HJs and other branched DNA substrates (Fig. 2). Specifically, at 12 nM RECQ4(1–400) the affinity for HJs is about 9- or 3-fold higher in comparison to ss/dsDNA and 3' flap substrates, respectively (Fig. 2B), thus indicating a strong affinity for HJs. The DNA binding properties of the C-terminal part of the RECQ4 protein were also tested on the same DNA substrates. While RECQ4(455–1208) shows a significantly higher binding preference for HJ substrate (Supplementary Fig. 4A), its affinity for HJs compared to the RECQ4(1–400) fragment is about 6-fold lower (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Fig. 4B).

To confirm the strong preference of RECQ4 for HJs, we used two types of competition assays. In the first assay, increasing amounts of RECQ4(1–400) were incubated with an equimolar mixture of ssDNA and HJ. While RECQ4(1–400) is capable of binding around 70% of HJ substrate at 12 nM, the ssDNA remained unbound (Figs. 3A and B). In the second assay, RECQ4(1–400) was pre-incubated with ssDNA to form a complex and then challenged by addition of HJ substrate. Increasing HJ concentrations were able completely to outcompete ssDNA from its complex with RECQ4 (Figs. 3C and D). Taken together, these experiments clearly demonstrate that the N-terminus of RECQ4 has a very high and specific affinity for HJ substrate.

Next, we used atomic force microscopy to directly visualize RECQ4(1–400) protein binding to replication intermediates. We analyzed approximately 300 molecules of DNA isolated from *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts, amongst which we found nine clearly defined structures containing replication fork. All except one were bound by the RECQ4 protein (Figs. 4A, B and C), further demonstrating the high affinity of RECQ4 to these DNA structures. As a control, the same amount of DNA isolated from replication extracts was incubated without addition of RECQ4(1-400) excluding any potential protein contamination (Supplementary Fig. 5).

2.2. RECQ4 possesses several DNA-binding domains

To map the HJ-binding domain within the N-terminus of RECQ4, we constructed and purified additional truncations (Fig. 1A) and tested them using an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). Truncations 1–269 already resulted in a 9-fold reduction of binding affinity for HJ compared to RECQ4(1–400) at 12 nM concentration (Fig. 5A). Therefore, we also tested an N-terminal fragment spanning the region 269–400 and observed a similar affinity to that of RECQ4(1–400) (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. 3C). To further delineate the domain, we also generated truncation 1–322. While it was able to bind HJs, it had about one-sixth of the affinity as well as a different mode of binding compared to RECQ4(1–400) and RECQ4(269–400) (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. 3C). Finally, fragment RECQ4(322-400) showed undistinguishable affinity for HJ as 1-400 and 269-400 (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. 3C), thus suggesting that HJ interaction domain is located in the region 322–400.

Additional N-terminal truncations of the RECQ4 further reduced the specificity and binding affinity for HJ, although some DNA binding with fragment 1–189 was still observed. Almost no DNA binding was detected for fragment 1–90 (Supplementary Fig. 3C), indicating that the N-terminal region includes an additional DNA binding site within 90–189 aa. Furthermore, this DNA-binding domain seems not to be that specific, because its ability to bind HJ is about 8-times lower compared to RECQ4(1–400) (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Fig. 6A and B). Taken together, the N-terminus of RECQ4 contains two DNA-binding domains, one located at region 90–189 and the other, an HJ-specific domain, at region 322–400 (Fig. 5B).

2.3. N-terminus shows strong annealing activity

We have previously demonstrated the ability of RECQ4 to promote annealing of complementary single strands [15]. We therefore asked which region of RECQ4 is responsible for this activity. We tested two N-terminal fragments, RECQ4(1–492) and RECQ(1–400). Both were able strongly to promote annealing reaction, as 5 nM protein was able almost completely to generate annealing product (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 7A). On the other hand, the C-terminal RECQ4(455–1208) fragment showed only very weak annealing activity with only half of the annealed product observed at 40 nM concentration. Next we compared this activity to that of a known annealing protein, RAD52, and observed a lower but comparable ability to anneal complementary strands (Supplementary Fig. 7B and C).

To further narrow the domain responsible for the annealing activity, we also tested RECQ4(1–269) and RECQ4(269–400) containing two separate DNA-binding domains. We observed that the annealing ability of both fragments is significantly lower than that of RECQ4(1–400) with 4 times more RECQ4(1–269) and RECQ4(269–400) required to reach comparable annealing activity at 5 nM (Fig. 6B). Taken together, this indicates that the N-terminal region is responsible for the annealing activity of the RECQ4 protein, and both interaction domains within regions 90–189 and 322–400 are required for this activity.

2.4 The annealing does not counteract helicase activity

Seeing that the N-terminus of RECQ4 possesses annealing activity, we wished to determine if this activity counteracts the weak helicase activity observed for the full-length RECQ4 protein [17-19]. First, we assessed the ATPase activity of RECQ4(455–1208) fragment containing the helicase domain with the Walker A motif. ATPase activity was indistinguishable from that of RECQ4wt (Supplementary Fig. 8B), although it was very weak compared to that of Srs2, another DNA repair helicase (Supplementary Fig. 8A). Next, we tested the unwinding of this fragment and observed no difference compared to RECQ4wt (Supplementary Fig. 9A and B). This is in contrast to another RecQ helicase (BLM) that was able completely to unwind 3' overhang at 12.5 nM. In summary, our data indicate that the absence of the RECQ4 N-terminus with its annealing activity has no effect on ATPase and helicase activities.

3. Discussion

The RECQ4 protein plays multiple roles in the maintenance of genome stability. *In vivo* studies suggest that the N-terminus is essential for cell viability and embryogenesis [33], whereas the C-terminus (mainly the conserved helicase domain) is responsible for genome integrity and preventing cancer predisposition [31, 32]. Even though many biochemical experiments show interactions between RECQ4 and a wide range of proteins involved in DNA replication and repair, very little is known about the role of RECQ4.

Here, we wished to characterize the DNA binding properties of RECQ4. Our data demonstrate that RECQ4 contains at least three DNA-binding domains. One less specific DNA-binding domain is situated within the N-terminus of RECQ4 within 90–189 aa. Notably, MCM10, which is an integral component of the MCM replicative helicase complex

participating in DNA replication in humans, interacts with the N-terminus (1–200 aa) of RECQ4 [20, 21]. This interaction indicates a possible direct role of RECQ4 in initiating replication. It might be possible that the main function of the 90–189 aa DNA-binding domain is targeting of MCM10 or other components of replisome to the origin of replication. The overlapping protein and DNA interaction sites also suggest possible mutual interference, a point needing to be addressed in the future. Even though a DNA interaction motif within the first 54 amino acids has been observed previously [34], we were not able to detect significant DNA binding activity within the first 90 amino acids. Dramatically high concentrations of RECQ4 (32 μ M) needed to be used in order to detect some DNA binding [34]. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that an additional 40 amino acids might have affected the folding of an otherwise disordered region and thus affected the interaction.

The second DNA-binding domain was identified within the region 322–400 aa. To our surprise, this domain shows a very high affinity for HJ compared to ssDNA or other structures. In addition, the affinity of RECQ4(1-400) for HJ is undistinguishable from the wildtype RECQ4 (Supplementary Figs. 3A and B), indicating that this domain is responsible for HJ binding within the full-length protein. We cannot exclude that C-terminal domain could have an auxiliary effect to HJ binding of wild-type. However, the contribution of this domain for HJ binding is only minor, as 12 nM RECQ4(1-400) binds 90% of HJ compare to 10% bound by the same amount of RECQ(455-1208). This clearly indicates that RECQ4 might play a direct role in processing of HJ-containing structures. Even though RECQ4 does not contain the conserved HRDC domain of RecQ helicases, it might be possible that another DNA-binding domain has evolved in the N-terminal part to facilitate the molecular function of the RECQ4 protein. It is known that stalled replication forks can regress to form HJs in order to bypass DNA lesion and enable restart of replication forks [35-39]. Alternatively, the HJ, regressed replication forks or stalled replication fork can be cleaved by structure-selective endonucleases, thus leading to generation of DSBs which are then repaired by homologous recombination (HR) [40-42]. Among RecQ helicases, BLM already has been shown to dissolve double HJs and prevent sister chromatid exchanges and thus possibly to suppress the high incidence of cancer in BS patients [43, 44]. BLM also has been reported to co-localize within DNA bridges as well as ultra-fine anaphase bridges that are believed to represent unreplicated and difficult-to-replicate regions of genome and HR intermediates that re-formed late in G2/M phase [45]. RECQ4 was recently identified as a BLM-interacting protein, although this interaction leads to inhibition of BLM-mediated unwinding of HJ by RECQ4 in contrast to other DNA substrates [46], indicating specific affinity of RECQ4 for this substrate. In addition, RECQ1 was shown to promote fork restoration and this activity is inhibited by PARP1 [47]. The ability of RECQ4 to bind both HJ and PARP1 (our as well as other data [48]) thus suggests a role in the regulation of fork restart. We therefore hypothesize that RECQ4 might recognize HJ structures and that even while it can stabilize and protect them against the activities of some proteins it might target others required for their processing.

Furthermore, the ability of RECQ4 to strongly bind HJs also can help to explain its role in mitochondrial genome and telomere maintenance [27, 49, 50]. Indeed, human heart mtDNA contains abundant HJs [51] and RECQ4 (alone or together with other factors) can be required to remove such intermediates and thus facilitate mitochondrial DNA segregation. In yeast mitochondria, a key component of the mtDNA recombination machinery is the HJ resolvase or cruciform cutting endonuclease, Cce1p, where it works in concert with Mhr1p to maintain mtDNA [52, 53]. While individual deletions have little effect on mtDNA stability, loss of both genes leads to frequent and rapid mtDNA depletion [53]. In humans, mitochondrial genetic diseases linked with nuclear genes affect replication of mtDNA either directly at the replication fork or by nucleotide supply [54]. In view of RECQ4's possible role during replication, it is not surprising that symptoms associated with deficiency in some of these genes (POLG, TWINKLE) show similarity to those of subsets of RTS patients, including mental retardation associated with atrophy of the brain [55-57]. A role of RECQ4 was recently suggested also in telomere maintenance, as knock-down and patient-derived cells exhibit elevated levels of fragile sites and breaks at telomeres, and it was shown to directly interact and promote D-loop unwinding together with the WRN helicase [27]. RECQ4 thus could help target WRN to the replication-stalled sites at telomeric regions and promote their unwinding, even though it blocks accessibility of HJs for BLM [58]. This might be similar to the action of TRF2, which has been shown to recognize and protect cleavage of HJs at telomeres [59, 60] and inhibits WRN activities at HJs with telomeric repeats or WRNmediated formation of T-circles [61]. Accordingly, RECQ4 and TRF2 also have been shown functionally to interact [27] and could be responsible for recruitment and assembly of the shelterin complex after replication.

The third DNA-binding domain of RECQ4 resides within a conserved helicase motif, suggesting that this DNA-binding domain is likely to be crucial for the helicase and ATPase activity of RECQ4 [17-19]. Nevertheless, RECQ4's helicase activity is very low and is limited by substrate specificity [19]. Similarly, the ATPase activity of RECQ4 is very weak in comparison to that of yeast Srs2 helicase or other RecQ helicases [58]. Nevertheless, it cannot be excluded that post-translational modification or accessory factor can influence ATPase and helicase activities. Furthermore, it has been suggested that annealing activity of RECQ4 can mask helicase activity [18, 19, 62]. While we have mapped annealing activity to the N-terminal region, its separation from the helicase domain has no effect on RECQ4's ATPase or helicase activity. We therefore suggest that, in contrast to the other members of the RecQ family, the low level of ATPase and helicase activity does not point to a role for RECQ4 in direct unwinding of DNA intermediates during replication alongside MCM2-7 [63].

Taken together, the N-terminus of RECQ4 contains two DNA-binding domains which are responsible for the affinities of the full-length RECQ4 protein and facilitate single-strand annealing activity. In addition, the region of RECQ4 within 322–400 aa selectively recognizes HJs, and that could be essential for the function of RECQ4 in DNA replication and repair. This is in agreement with previous in vivo studies showing that the N-terminus of RECQ4 is indispensable and sufficient for cell viability [32, 33] and DNA repair [64]. We hypothesize that RECQ4 binds and targets other proteins to specific DNA substrates which are formed during DNA replication or recombination to ensure their timely and coordinated processing. Alternatively, it has been proposed that the role in strand annealing or annealing-mediated strand exchange is involved in template switching or fork regression. These activities might, however, be inhibited by the natural presence of another ssDNA binding protein, RPA [15, 20], and so this needs to be further evaluated in vivo. Despite links to defined genetic disorders, the role of RECQ4 remains mysterious. It is a point of great interest for further understanding the biochemical function of RECQL4 in maintenance of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes and telomeres. During the preparation of this manuscript a report appeared confirming identification of several DNA-binding domains with RECQ4 and high binding affinity to G4 structures [65].

4. Methods

4.1. Cloning of RECQ4 fragments

Individual *RECQ4* fragments were amplified by PCR from the template plasmid pET32a-*RECQ4* using corresponding primers (Table 1). The final PCR product included the coding sequence for a particular fragment and a C-terminal His-tag flanked by *Eco*RI restriction sites. PCR products were inserted into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and verified by restriction analysis using *Eco*RI enzyme and sequencing. pCR-BluntII-TOPO vector containing corresponding *RECQ4* fragment and expression vector pMAL-c2x were digested with *Eco*RI endonuclease. Linearized expression vector was dephosphorylated by Antarctic phosphatase to prevent its rejoining, and this was followed by ligation with individual RECQ4 fragments.

4.2. Expression and purification of RECQ4 fragments

Bacterial strain E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS was transformed with individual plasmids encoding various RECQ4 fragments. The cultures were grown overnight and protein expression was induced by addition of 0.1 mM IPTG (isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) at 16°C overnight. Twenty grams of cell pellet were sonicated in 80 ml of breakage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 10% sucrose, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA], 200 mM KCl, 0.01% NP40, 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol) and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (aprotinin, chymostatin, leupeptin, pepstatin A and benzamidine hydrochloride at 5 mg/ml each). The lysate was clarified by ultracentrifugation (100,000 x g, 1 h, 4°C) and the supernatant was incubated with 1 ml of His-Select nickel affinity gel (Sigma) at 4°C overnight. The beads were washed with 15 ml of buffer K (20 mM K₂HPO₄, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 150 mM KCl, 0.01% NP40, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) containing 10 mM imidazole. The bound protein was eluted by K buffer containing increasing concentrations of imidazole (150–1000 mM). Fractions containing RECQ4 fragments were then incubated with 0.5 ml of amylose beads (NEB) at 4°C for 1 h. The beads were washed with 15 ml of K buffer and proteins were eluted by K buffer containing 10 mM maltose. Pooled protein fractions were loaded onto a 0.5 ml MonoS/MonoQ column (GE Healthcare) and eluted using a 10 ml gradient of 150–1000 mM KCl in K buffer. Purified RECQ4 fragments were concentrated using a VivaSpin-2. RECQ4wt was expressed and purified as previously described [15].

4.3. DNA substrates

All oligonucleotides used in this study were supplied by VBC Biotech (Austria) and are summarized in Table 2. Synthetic DNA substrates used in the EMSA (Table 3) were prepared as described by Matulova et al. [66].

For atomic force microscopy, the replication intermediates isolated from *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts were kindly provided by Vincenzo Costanzo (IFOM, Italy). For further details on preparation, see Hashimoto et al. [67].

4.4. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

The reaction mixture consisted of reaction buffer D (30 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 1 mM DTT, 100 mM KCl, 100 μg/ml BSA), 3 nM fluorescently labeled DNA substrate, and indicated concentrations of the RECQ4 protein. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After the addition of loading buffer (60% glycerol, 10 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 60 mM EDTA), the reaction mixtures were resolved in 7.5% native polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE running buffer (45 mM Tris-ultrapure, 4.5 mM boric acid, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8). Gels were scanned by an Image Reader FLA-9000 Starion (Fujifilm) and quantified using MultiGauge V3.2 software (Fujifilm).

Two competition assays were used in this study. In the first, a mixture of 1.5 nM FITCssDNA and 1.5 nM FITC-Holliday junction (HJ) substrates was incubated with indicated concentrations of RECQ4 at 37°C for 20 min. After adding loading buffer, the reaction was separated on 7.5% native polyacrylamide gel in 0.5x TBE running buffer and analyzed as described above. In the second competition assay, RECQ4 was pre-incubated with 1.5 nM Cy5-ssDNA to form a protein–DNA complex at 37°C for 10 min. After pre-incubation, the increasing concentrations of FITC-HJs were added to the reaction followed by additional incubation at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was resolved and analyzed as above.

4.5. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

For AFM imaging, 10 μ l reactions containing 1 ng/ μ l of MBP-RECQ4(1–400) and 0.5 ng/ μ l of replication intermediates from *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts were incubated for 20 min at 37°C in reaction buffer (30 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.5], 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5

mM DTT). Upon incubation, the solution was deposited on freshly cleaved mica (V1 grade) (SPI Supplies), incubated for one minute, then washed with MilliQ water and air-dried.

Images were obtained on a JKP NanoWizard[®] 3a BioScience AFM (JPK Instruments) operating in AC (tapping) mode in air using standard AFM probe ACTA (APPNANO).

4.6. Single-strand annealing assay (SSA)

The reaction was set up essentially as described by Altmannova et al. [68]. Briefly, a mixture of 3 nM fluorescently labeled ssDNA (pR27*) and 7 nM non-labeled complementary ssDNA (pR28) was incubated with the indicated amount of RECQ4 in D buffer (30 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 1 mM DTT, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 µg/ml BSA) at 37°C for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by addition of 5% SDS and 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. After adding loading buffer, the samples were resolved on a 10% native polyacrylamide gel in 1x TBE running buffer. Gels were scanned using an FLA-9000 Starion (Fujifilm) and quantified by MultiGauge software (Fujifilm).

In time-course experiments, the appropriate concentration of RECQ4 or RAD52 was mixed with the final reaction volume. The reaction was incubated at 37°C and the aliquots from reaction mixtures were taken at indicated time points (0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 min). To stop the reaction, 5% SDS and 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K were added to the collected samples. The reaction was resolved and analyzed as above.

4.7. ATPase assay

ATPase reaction was performed essentially as described elsewhere [69]. The reaction mixture included a purified protein, DNA cofactor (75 μ M nucleotides, pR33), A buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1 mM MgCl₂), and a mixture of unlabeled 10 mM ATP and 148 Bq/ μ l γ -³²P-ATP. The reaction was incubated at the chosen temperature and aliquots from reaction mixtures were taken at indicated time points (0, 5, 10, 20 and 30 min). To stop the reaction, 5% SDS was added to the collected samples. The reaction products were separated by thin-layer chromatography on cellulose plates. These were analyzed by phosphorimaging using an FLA-9000 Starion (Fujifilm) scanner and the amount of labeled phosphate released during ATP hydrolysis was quantified using MultiGauge software (Fujifilm).

4.8. Helicase assay

This assay has been described previously [69]. Briefly, fluorescently labeled 3' overhang substrate was incubated with appropriate concentrations of RECQ4/BLM protein in buffer H (30 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 100 mM KCl, 20 mM creatine phosphate, 20 µg/ml creatine kinase, 2.4 mM MgCl₂, and 2 mM ATP) for 20 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of 5% SDS and 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K and incubated for 5 min at 37°C. After adding loading buffer, the reaction products were separated on a 13% native polyacrylamide gel in 1x TBE running buffer. Gels were scanned by an Image Reader FLA-9000 Starion (Fujifilm) and quantified by MultiGauge V3.2 software (Fujifilm).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like thank Vincenzo Constanzo (IFOM, Italy) for providing us with the replication intermediates. This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GACR 13–26629S and P207/12/2323) and the European Regional Development Fund under Project FNUSA-ICRC (No. CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0123). BC was supported by the Program for Support of Gifted Students in South Moravian Region. JM was supported by the grant from the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the CR (CZ.1.07/2.3.00/30.0037).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. N-terminus of RECQ4 binds dsDNA more effectively than C-terminus. (A) Schematic illustration of RECQ4 and its fragments used in this study. (B) DNA binding of RECQ4. Increasing concentrations of RECQ4(1–400), RECQ4(1–492) and RECQ4(455–1208) (50, 100, 150 and 200 nM) were incubated with 3 nM FITC-labeled dsDNA for 20 min at 37°C. Quantification reported as mean \pm SD based on three independent experiments.

Fig. 2. RECQ4(1–400) has high affinity for Holliday junction structures. (A) EMSA reactions containing increasing amounts of RECQ4(1–400) (6, 12, 25 and 50 nM, lanes 2–5) were incubated with 3 nM FITC-labeled ssDNA, dsDNA, 3' flap, bubble and HJ structures at 37° C for 20 min. (B) Quantification of the data in (A) reported as mean ± SD based on three independent experiments.

Fig. 3. DNA binding preference of RECQ4(1–400) using competition assays. (A) Increasing amounts of RECQ4(1–400) (6, 12, 25, 50 and 100 nM, lanes 2–6) were incubated with a mixture of FITC-labeled ssDNA and FITC-labeled HJ (3 nM each) in 1:1 ratio at 37°C for 20 min. (B) Quantification of the competition assay in (A) shown as mean ± SD based on three independent experiments. (C) RECQ4(1–400) was pre-incubated with Cy5-labeled ssDNA (green; 1.5 nM) to form a complex at 37°C for 15 min. After pre-incubation, increasing concentrations of FITC-labeled HJs (red; 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 nM, lanes 2–7) were added. (D) The mean values for bound ssDNA from (C) shown ±SD from three independent experiments.

Fig. 4. Visualization of RECQ4(1–400) protein binding to replication forks by atomic force microscopy. (A) Overview of a larger area containing two DNA molecules. (B) Detail of replication fork with bound RECQ4(1–400) indicated by an arrow. (C) 3D profile demonstrating the difference in height between naked DNA and protein bound to DNA.

Fig. 5. RECQ4 contains several DNA-binding domains. (A) Quantification of various RECQ4 fragments and their affinities for HJ. Means \pm SD shown are based on three independent

experiments. (B) Schematic representation of potential DNA-binding domains in the RECQ4 protein. Orange boxes represent the conserved helicase domain and the green box indicates the Sld2 domain. Violet and red boxes show mapped DNA binding sites in the RECQ4 protein.

Fig. 6. N-terminus of RECQ4 promotes annealing activity. (A) Reaction mixtures containing the indicated amounts (5, 10, 20 and 40 nM, lanes 2–5) of RECQ4(1–400), RECQ4(455–1208), RECQ4(1–269) and RECQ4(269–400) were incubated with 3 nM FITC-labeled ssDNA and non-labeled complementary ssDNA at 37°C for 20 min. (B) The plot presents mean values in (A) \pm SD from three independent experiments.

Table 1

Primer name	Primer usage	Sequence (5'-3')	Length
			(nt)
pR401	RECQ4 fragment	AATGAATTCTTAGTGATGGTGATGGTGATGGTG	42
	500/600–1208 rev	ATGGTGCCC	
pR405	RECQ4 fragment 1– 500/600 for	AAGGAATTCATGGAGCGGCTGCGGGACGTGC	31
pR577	RECQ4 fragment 1–400	ATTGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	60
	rev	ATGGTGCTTGGTTGTGACTGTGGCACC	
pR705	RECQ4 fragment 1–492	CTTGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	
	rev	ATGGTGGATCCGCATGACTGCACGCTC	60
pR825	RECQ4 fragment 1–189	GTTGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	57
	rev	ATGGTGGCCAGGATCTAGGGAGCCCAG	
pR826	RECQ4 fragment 1–269	TTTGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	57
	rev	ATGGTGCCAGGGCTCCTCGTTCCATCT	
pR1011	RECQ4 fragment 1–322	TTTGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	60
	rev	ATGGTGGAGTCCGTGGTACCTGGGGTT	60
pR1012	RECQ4 fragment 1–90	CCCGAATTCTTAGTGGTGGTGATGATGATGGTG	59
	rev	ATGGTGTGGACTCTTGGTCGCAGCCC	
pR1013	RECQ4 fragment 455– 1208 for	TTGGAATTCCTGGGGCCCTCAGGGCAGTT	29
pR2001	RECQ4 fragment (269– 400) for	AAGGAATTCTGGGAGAGCCCCGCACAGGTCCA	32

Primers used for cloning RECQ4 fragments.

Table 2

Primers used for preparing DNA substrates.

Primer name	Primer usage	Sequence (5'-3')	Length (nt)
pR26	3' flap (with pR27* and pR29) 3' overhang (with pR27*)	AATTCGTGCAGGCATGGTAGCT	22
pR27*	ssDNA,		
	dsDNA (with pR28),	AGCTACCATGCCTGCACGAATTAAGCAATTC	49
	3' overhang (with pR26)	GTAATCATGGTCATAGCT	
	3' flap (with pR26 and pR29)		
pR28	complementary to pR27*	AGCTATGACCATGATTACGAATTGCTTAATTC	49
		GTGCAGGCATGGTAGCT	
pR29	3' flap (with pR26 and pR27*)	AGCTATGACCATGATTACGAATTGCTTGGAA	47
ph25		TCCTGACGAACTGTAG	
pR33	83mer used for ATPase assay	TTTATATCCTTTACTTTATTTTCTATGTTTATTC	
		ATTTACTTATTTTGTATTATCCTTATACTTATTT	83
		ACTTTATGTTCATTT	
pR101*	bubble	GACGCTGCCGAATTCTACCAGTGCCTTGCTA	61
		GGACATCTTTGCCCACCTGCAGGTTCACCC	
pR102		GGGTGAACCTGCAGGTGGGCGGCTGCTCATC	61
		GTAGGTTAGTTGGTAGAATTCGGCAGCGTC	
pR139*		GACGCTGCCGAATTCTACCAGTGCCTTGCTA	61
		GGACATCTTTGCCCACCTGCAGGTTCACCC	
pR140	HJs	TGGGTGAACCTGCAGGTGGGCAAAGATGTC	62
		CATCTGTTGTAATCGTCAAGCTTTATGCCGTT	
pR141		GGGTGAACCTGCAGGTGGGCAAAAATGTCCT	C1
		AGCAAGGCACTGGTAGAATTCGGCAGCGTC	61
pR142		GAACGGCATAAAGCTTGACGATTACAACAGA	62
		TGGACATTTTTGCCCACCTGCAGGTTCACCC	
pR288**	ssDNA	AGCTACCATGCCTGCACGAATTAAGCAATTC	49
		GTAATCATGGTCATAGCT	

*FITC-labeled primer, **Cy5-labeled primer.

Table 3

DNA substrate	Structure
3' overhang	* 22
3' flap	* 49
bubble	*
HJ	* <u>62</u> 61 62

Structures of DNA substrates.

References

1 Chu WK, Hickson ID. RecQ helicases: multifunctional genome caretakers. Nat Rev Cancer 2009, 9: 644-654

2 Larsen NB, Hickson ID. RecQ Helicases: Conserved Guardians of Genomic Integrity. Adv Exp Med Biol 2013, 767: 161-184

³ Puranam KL, Blackshear PJ. Cloning and characterization of RECQL, a potential human homologue of the Escherichia coli DNA helicase RecQ. J Biol Chem 1994, 269: 29838-29845

Seki M, Miyazawa H, Tada S, Yanagisawa J, Yamaoka T, Hoshino S, Ozawa K, *et al.* Molecular cloning of cDNA encoding human DNA helicase Q1 which has homology to Escherichia coli Rec Q helicase and localization of the gene at chromosome 12p12. Nucleic Acids Res 1994, 22: 4566-4573

5 Ellis NA, Groden J, Ye TZ, Straughen J, Lennon DJ, Ciocci S, Proytcheva M, *et al.* The Bloom's syndrome gene product is homologous to RecQ helicases. Cell 1995, 83: 655-666

6 Yu CE, Oshima J, Fu YH, Wijsman EM, Hisama F, Alisch R, Matthews S, *et al.* Positional cloning of the Werner's syndrome gene. Science 1996, 272: 258-262

7 Kitao S, Ohsugi I, Ichikawa K, Goto M, Furuichi Y, Shimamoto A. Cloning of two new human helicase genes of the RecQ family: biological significance of multiple species in higher eukaryotes. Genomics 1998, 54: 443-452

8 Kitao S, Shimamoto A, Goto M, Miller RW, Smithson WA, Lindor NM, Furuichi Y. Mutations in RECQL4 cause a subset of cases of Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Nat Genet 1999, 22: 82-84

9 Siitonen HA, Sotkasiira J, Biervliet M, Benmansour A, Capri Y, Cormier-Daire V, Crandall B, *et al.* The mutation spectrum in RECQL4 diseases. Eur J Hum Genet 2009, 17: 151-158

10 Van Maldergem L, Siitonen HA, Jalkh N, Chouery E, De Roy M, Delague V, Muenke M, *et al.* Revisiting the craniosynostosis-radial ray hypoplasia association: Baller-Gerold syndrome caused by mutations in the RECQL4 gene. J Med Genet 2006, 43: 148-152

Siitonen HA, Kopra O, Kääriäinen H, Haravuori H, Winter RM, Säämänen AM, Peltonen L, *et al.* Molecular defect of RAPADILINO syndrome expands the phenotype spectrum of RECQL diseases. Hum Mol Genet 2003, 12: 2837-2844

12 Wang LL, Gannavarapu A, Kozinetz CA, Levy ML, Lewis RA, Chintagumpala MM, Ruiz-Maldanado R, *et al.* Association between osteosarcoma and deleterious mutations in the RECQL4 gene in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003, 95: 669-674

13 Ying KL, Oizumi J, Curry CJ. Rothmund-Thomson syndrome associated with trisomy 8 mosaicism. J Med Genet 1990, 27: 258-260

14 Kitao S, Lindor NM, Shiratori M, Furuichi Y, Shimamoto A. Rothmund-thomson syndrome responsible gene, RECQL4: genomic structure and products. Genomics 1999, 61: 268-276

15 Macris MA, Krejci L, Bussen W, Shimamoto A, Sung P. Biochemical characterization of the RECQ4 protein, mutated in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. DNA Repair (Amst) 2006, 5: 172-180

16 Yin J, Kwon YT, Varshavsky A, Wang W. RECQL4, mutated in the Rothmund-Thomson and RAPADILINO syndromes, interacts with ubiquitin ligases UBR1 and UBR2 of the N-end rule pathway. Hum Mol Genet 2004, 13: 2421-2430

17 Rossi ML, Ghosh AK, Kulikowicz T, Croteau DL, Bohr VA. Conserved helicase domain of human RecQ4 is required for strand annealing-independent DNA unwinding. DNA Repair (Amst) 2010, 9: 796-804

18 Suzuki T, Kohno T, Ishimi Y. DNA helicase activity in purified human RECQL4 protein. J Biochem 2009, 146: 327-335

19 Xu X, Liu Y. Dual DNA unwinding activities of the Rothmund-Thomson syndrome protein, RECQ4. EMBO J 2009, 28: 568-577

20 Xu X, Rochette PJ, Feyissa EA, Su TV, Liu Y. MCM10 mediates RECQ4 association with MCM2-7 helicase complex during DNA replication. EMBO J 2009, 28: 3005-3014 21 Im JS, Ki SH, Farina A, Jung DS, Hurwitz J, Lee JK. Assembly of the Cdc45-Mcm2-7-GINS complex in human cells requires the Ctf4/And-1, RecQL4, and Mcm10 proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009, 106: 15628-15632

22 Sangrithi MN, Bernal JA, Madine M, Philpott A, Lee J, Dunphy WG, Venkitaraman AR. Initiation of DNA replication requires the RECQL4 protein mutated in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Cell 2005, 121: 887-898

23 Matsuno K, Kumano M, Kubota Y, Hashimoto Y, Takisawa H. The N-terminal noncatalytic region of Xenopus RecQ4 is required for chromatin binding of DNA polymerase alpha in the initiation of DNA replication. Mol Cell Biol 2006, 26: 4843-4852

24 Burks LM, Yin J, Plon SE. Nuclear import and retention domains in the amino terminus of RECQL4. Gene 2007, 391: 26-38

25 Schurman SH, Hedayati M, Wang Z, Singh DK, Speina E, Zhang Y, Becker K, *et al.* Direct and indirect roles of RECQL4 in modulating base excision repair capacity. Hum Mol Genet 2009, 18: 3470-3483

Fan W, Luo J. RecQ4 facilitates UV light-induced DNA damage repair through interaction with nucleotide excision repair factor xeroderma pigmentosum group A (XPA). J Biol Chem 2008, 283: 29037-29044

27 Ghosh AK, Rossi ML, Singh DK, Dunn C, Ramamoorthy M, Croteau DL, Liu Y, *et al.* RECQL4, the protein mutated in Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, functions in telomere maintenance. J Biol Chem 2012, 287: 196-209

28 Petkovic M, Dietschy T, Freire R, Jiao R, Stagljar I. The human Rothmund-Thomson syndrome gene product, RECQL4, localizes to distinct nuclear foci that coincide with proteins involved in the maintenance of genome stability. J Cell Sci 2005, 118: 4261-4269

29 Lindor NM, Furuichi Y, Kitao S, Shimamoto A, Arndt C, Jalal S. Rothmund-Thomson syndrome due to RECQ4 helicase mutations: report and clinical and molecular comparisons with Bloom syndrome and Werner syndrome. Am J Med Genet 2000, 90: 223-228

Balraj P, Concannon P, Jamal R, Beghini A, Hoe TS, Khoo AS, Volpi L. An unusual mutation in RECQ4 gene leading to Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Mutat Res 2002, 508: 99-105

Mann MB, Hodges CA, Barnes E, Vogel H, Hassold TJ, Luo G. Defective sister-chromatid cohesion, aneuploidy and cancer predisposition in a mouse model of type II Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 2005, 14: 813-825

Hoki Y, Araki R, Fujimori A, Ohhata T, Koseki H, Fukumura R, Nakamura M, *et al.* Growth retardation and skin abnormalities of the Recql4-deficient mouse. Hum Mol Genet 2003, 12: 2293-2299

Abe T, Yoshimura A, Hosono Y, Tada S, Seki M, Enomoto T. The N-terminal region of RECQL4 lacking the helicase domain is both essential and sufficient for the viability of vertebrate cells. Role of the N-terminal region of RECQL4 in cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 2011, 1813: 473-479

Ohlenschläger O, Kuhnert A, Schneider A, Haumann S, Bellstedt P, Keller H, Saluz HP, *et al.* The N-terminus of the human RecQL4 helicase is a homeodomain-like DNA interaction motif. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40: 8309-8324

LeRoy G, Carroll R, Kyin S, Seki M, Cole MD. Identification of RecQL1 as a Holliday junction processing enzyme in human cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res 2005, 33: 6251-6257

Karow JK, Constantinou A, Li JL, West SC, Hickson ID. The Bloom's syndrome gene product promotes branch migration of holliday junctions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000, 97: 6504-6508

37 Constantinou A, Tarsounas M, Karow JK, Brosh RM, Bohr VA, Hickson ID, West SC. Werner's syndrome protein (WRN) migrates Holliday junctions and co-localizes with RPA upon replication arrest. EMBO Rep 2000, 1: 80-84

Ralf C, Hickson ID, Wu L. The Bloom's syndrome helicase can promote the regression of a model replication fork. J Biol Chem 2006, 281: 22839-22846

39 Machwe A, Xiao L, Groden J, Orren DK. The Werner and Bloom syndrome proteins catalyze regression of a model replication fork. Biochemistry 2006, 45: 13939-13946

40 Boddy MN, Gaillard PH, McDonald WH, Shanahan P, Yates JR, Russell P. Mus81-Eme1 are essential components of a Holliday junction resolvase. Cell 2001, 107: 537-548

41 Ip SC, Rass U, Blanco MG, Flynn HR, Skehel JM, West SC. Identification of Holliday junction resolvases from humans and yeast. Nature 2008, 456: 357-361

42 Sarbajna S, West SC. Holliday junction processing enzymes as guardians of genome stability. Trends Biochem Sci 2014, 39: 409-419

43 Wu L, Hickson ID. The Bloom's syndrome helicase suppresses crossing over during homologous recombination. Nature 2003, 426: 870-874

44 Wu L, Davies SL, North PS, Goulaouic H, Riou JF, Turley H, Gatter KC, *et al.* The Bloom's syndrome gene product interacts with topoisomerase III. J Biol Chem 2000, 275: 9636-9644

45 Chan KL, North PS, Hickson ID. BLM is required for faithful chromosome segregation and its localization defines a class of ultrafine anaphase bridges. EMBO J 2007, 26: 3397-3409

Singh DK, Popuri V, Kulikowicz T, Shevelev I, Ghosh AK, Ramamoorthy M, Rossi ML, *et al.* The human RecQ helicases BLM and RECQL4 cooperate to preserve genome stability. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40: 6632-6648

47 Berti M, Ray Chaudhuri A, Thangavel S, Gomathinayagam S, Kenig S, Vujanovic M, Odreman F, et al. Human RECQ1 promotes restart of replication forks reversed by DNA topoisomerase I inhibition. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2013, 20: 347-354

48 Woo LL, Futami K, Shimamoto A, Furuichi Y, Frank KM. The Rothmund-Thomson gene product RECQL4 localizes to the nucleolus in response to oxidative stress. Exp Cell Res 2006, 312: 3443-3457

49 Croteau DL, Rossi ML, Canugovi C, Tian J, Sykora P, Ramamoorthy M, Wang ZM, *et al.* RECQL4 localizes to mitochondria and preserves mitochondrial DNA integrity. Aging Cell 2012, 11: 456-466

50 De S, Kumari J, Mudgal R, Modi P, Gupta S, Futami K, Goto H, *et al.* RECQL4 is essential for the transport of p53 to mitochondria in normal human cells in the absence of exogenous stress. J Cell Sci 2012, 125: 2509-2522

51 Kajander OA, Karhunen PJ, Holt IJ, Jacobs HT. Prominent mitochondrial DNA recombination intermediates in human heart muscle. EMBO Rep 2001, 2: 1007-1012

52 Kleff S, Kemper B, Sternglanz R. Identification and characterization of yeast mutants and the gene for a cruciform cutting endonuclease. EMBO J 1992, 11: 699-704

Ling F, Shibata T. Recombination-dependent mtDNA partitioning: in vivo role of Mhr1p to promote pairing of homologous DNA. EMBO J 2002, 21: 4730-4740

54 Copeland WC. Inherited mitochondrial diseases of DNA replication. Annu Rev Med 2008, 59: 131-146

55 Gelaw B, Ali S, Becker J. Rothmund-Thomson syndrome, Klippel-Feil syndrome, and osteosarcoma. Skeletal Radiol 2004, 33: 613-615

Larizza L, Roversi G, Volpi L. Rothmund-Thomson syndrome. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2010, 5: 2

57 Palau F, Espinós C. Autosomal recessive cerebellar ataxias. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2006, 1: 47

58 Davies SL, North PS, Hickson ID. Role for BLM in replication-fork restart and suppression of origin firing after replicative stress. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2007, 14: 677-679

59 Poulet A, Buisson R, Faivre-Moskalenko C, Koelblen M, Amiard S, Montel F, Cuesta-Lopez S, *et al.* TRF2 promotes, remodels and protects telomeric Holliday junctions. EMBO J 2009, 28: 641-651

60 Fouché N, Cesare AJ, Willcox S, Ozgür S, Compton SA, Griffith JD. The basic domain of TRF2 directs binding to DNA junctions irrespective of the presence of TTAGGG repeats. J Biol Chem 2006, 281: 37486-37495

61 Nora GJ, Buncher NA, Opresko PL. Telomeric protein TRF2 protects Holliday junctions with telomeric arms from displacement by the Werner syndrome helicase. Nucleic Acids Res 2010, 38: 3984-3998

62 Capp C, Wu J, Hsieh TS. Drosophila RecQ4 has a 3'-5' DNA helicase activity that is essential for viability. J Biol Chem 2009, 284: 30845-30852

63 Capp C, Wu J, Hsieh TS. RecQ4: the second replicative helicase? Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 2010, 45: 233-242

54 Xu Y, Lei Z, Huang H, Dui W, Liang X, Ma J, Jiao R. dRecQ4 is required for DNA synthesis and essential for cell proliferation in Drosophila. PLoS One 2009, 4: e6107

65 Keller H, Kiosze K, Sachsenweger J, Haumann S, Ohlenschläger O, Nuutinen T, Syväoja JE, *et al.* The intrinsically disordered amino-terminal region of human RecQL4: multiple DNA-binding domains confer annealing, strand exchange and G4 DNA binding. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42: 12614-12627

66 Matulova P, Marini V, Burgess RC, Sisakova A, Kwon Y, Rothstein R, Sung P, *et al.* Cooperativity of Mus81.Mms4 with Rad54 in the resolution of recombination and replication intermediates. J Biol Chem 2009, 284: 7733-7745

67 Hashimoto Y, Ray Chaudhuri A, Lopes M, Costanzo V. Rad51 protects nascent DNA from Mre11-dependent degradation and promotes continuous DNA synthesis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2010, 17: 1305-1311

Altmannova V, Eckert-Boulet N, Arneric M, Kolesar P, Chaloupkova R, Damborsky J, Sung P, *et al.* Rad52 SUMOylation affects the efficiency of the DNA repair. Nucleic Acids Res 2010, 38: 4708-4721

69 Marini V, Krejci L. Unwinding of synthetic replication and recombination substrates by Srs2. DNA Repair (Amst) 2012, 11: 789-798